	il .	
1	Marquis Aurbach Coffing	
	Craig R. Anderson, Esq.	
2	Nevada Bar No. 6882 10001 Park Run Drive	
3	Las Vegas, Nevada 89145	
4	Telephone: (702) 382-0711 Facsimile: (702) 382-5816	
7	canderson@maclaw.com	
5	Attorneys for Defendants LVMPD, Campbel	ll, Vasquez, Gonzalez, Roman and Lombardo
6		
		DATE OF COLUMN
7	UNITED STATES I	DISTRICT COURT
8	DISTRICT O	OF NEVADA
9	MARCIA WELLS and TEENA ACREE,	Case Number:
	individually and as Co-Special	2:21-cv-01346-JCM-EJY
10	Administrators of the Estate of Byron Lee Williams, Deceased; TINA LEWIS-	
11	STEVENSON, individually as an heir;	
10	GWENDOLYN LEWIS, individually as an	
12	heir; ROBYN WILLIAMS, individually as an heir and DEWAIN LEWIS, individually as an	<u>DEFENDANTS LVMPD, CAMPBELL,</u> VASQUEZ, GONZALEZ, ROMAN AND
13	heir,	LOMBARDO'S ANSWER TO
	· 1	<b>PLAINTIFFS' COMPLAINT</b>
14	Plaintiffs,	
15	vs.	
16	THE CITY OF LAS VEGAS, a political subdivision of the State of Nevada; CLARK	
17	COUNTY, a political subdivision of the State	
	of Nevada; LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN	
18	POLICE DEPARTMENT, a political subdivision of the State of Nevada; OFFICER	
19	PATRICK CAMPBELL, individually and as	
•	an agent of the City of Las Vegas, Clark	
20	County, and the Las Vegas Metropolitan  Police Department; OFFICER BENJAMIN	
21	VASQUEZ, individually and as an agent of	
	the City of Las Vegas, Clark County, and the	
22	Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department;	
23	OFFICER ALEXANDER GONZALEZ, individually and as an agent of the City of Las	
	Vegas, Clark County, and the Las Vegas	
24	Metropolitan Police Department; OFFICER	
25	ROCKY ROMAN, individually and as an agent of the City of Las Vegas, Clark County,	
	and the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police	
26		

LOMBARDO, as agent of the City of Las Vegas, Clark County, and the Las Vegas

26

27

1

2

3

4

5

6

Metropolitan Police Department,

Defendants.

Defendants Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, Officer Patrick Campbell, Officer Benjamin Vasquez, Officer Alexander Gonzalez, Officer Rocky Roman and Sheriff Joe Lombardo ("LVMPD Defendants"), by and through their attorneys of record, Marquis Aurbach Coffing, hereby file their Answer to Plaintiffs' Complaint. (ECF No. 1)

#### **NATURE OF ACTION**

1. The LVMPD Defendants admit the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 and 2 of Plaintiffs' Complaint.

### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

2. The LVMPD Defendants admit the allegations contained in paragraphs 3 and 4 of Plaintiffs' Complaint.

#### **THE PARTIES**

- 3. The LVMPD Defendants deny the allegations contained in paragraphs 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 18, 19, 20 and 21 of Plaintiffs' Complaint.
- 4. The LVMPD Defendants admit the allegations contained in paragraphs 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 22 and 30 of Plaintiffs' Complaint.
- 5. The LVMPD Defendants are without sufficient knowledge to form an opinion as to the truth of Plaintiffs' allegations contained in paragraphs 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28 and 29 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, and therefore deny the remaining allegations.

#### **FACT STATEMENT**

- I. <u>LAS VEGAS METRO POLICE DEPARTMENT POLICIES IN FORCE ON SEPTEMBER 5, 2019</u>
- 6. The LVMPD Defendants admit the allegations contained in paragraphs 31, 32, 33, 34, 36, 40, 43 and 44 of Plaintiffs' Complaint.
- 7. The LVMPD Defendants deny the allegations contained in paragraph 35 of Plaintiffs' Complaint.

10001 Park Run Drive Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 382-0711 FAX: (702) 382-5816 (702) 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

8. The LVMPD Defendants are without sufficient knowledge to form an opinion as to the truth of Plaintiffs' allegations contained in paragraphs 37, 38, 39, 41 and 42 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, and therefore deny the remaining allegations.

#### II. LAS VEGAS METRO POLICE DEPARTMENT UNCONSTITUTIONAL PRACTICES AND CUSTOMS

- 9. The LVMPD Defendants are without sufficient knowledge to form an opinion as to the truth of Plaintiffs' allegations contained in paragraphs 45, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53 and 54 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, and therefore deny the remaining allegations.
- 10. The LVMPD Defendants admit the allegations contained in paragraphs 46 and 55 of the Plaintiffs' Complaint.

#### III. **SEPTEMBER 5, 2019**

- The LVMPD Defendants admit the allegations contained in paragraphs 56, 11. 57, 62, 65, 66, 68, 70, 72, 75, 78, 84, 85, 88, 90, 91, 107, 115, 118, 125, 131, 139, 140, 143, 149 and 161 of the Plaintiffs' Complaint.
- The LVMPD Defendants are without sufficient knowledge to form an 12. opinion as to the truth of Plaintiffs' allegations contained in paragraphs 58, 59, 60, 61, 63, 71, 73, 74, 76, 77, 79, 80, 81, 82, 83, 86, 87, 89, 94, 96, 97, 98, 99, 101, 104, 105, 106, 108, 110, 111, 113, 116, 117, 119, 121, 123, 124, 126, 127, 128, 129, 130, 132, 134, 135, 137, 138, 141, 142, 144, 145, 146, 147, 148, 150, 151, 152, 153, 154, 155, 156, 157, 158, 159 and 160 of the Plaintiffs' Complaint, and therefore deny the remaining allegations.
- 13. The LVMPD Defendants deny the allegations contained in paragraphs 64, 67, 69, 92, 93, 95, 100, 102, 103, 109, 112, 114, 120, 122, 133, 136 and 163 of the Plaintiffs' Complaint.

#### IV. INVESTIGATION INTO THE DEATH OF BYRON LEE WILLIAMS

14. The LVMPD Defendants admit the allegations contained in paragraphs 164, 165, 166, 168, 170, 171, 172, 173, 174, 175, 176 and 182 of Plaintiffs' Complaint.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

1	
1	15. The LVMPD Defendants are without sufficient knowledge to form an
2	opinion as to the truth of Plaintiffs' allegations contained in paragraphs 167, 177, 178, 179,
3	180 and 181 of the Plaintiffs' Complaint, and therefore deny the remaining allegations.
4	16. The LVMPD Defendants deny the allegations contained in paragraph 169 of
5	Plaintiffs' Complaint.
6	FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION
7	WRONGFUL DEATH - BATTERY
8	PLAINTIFFS V. DEFENDANT OFFICERS CAMPBELL, VASQUEZ, AND ROMAN
9	17. The LVMPD Defendants are without sufficient knowledge to form an

- ge to form an opinion as to the truth of Plaintiffs' allegations contained in paragraphs 183 and 192 of the Plaintiffs' Complaint, and therefore deny the remaining allegations.
- 18. The LVMPD Defendants admit the allegations contained in paragraph 184 of the Plaintiffs' Complaint.
- 19. The LVMPD Defendants deny the allegations contained in paragraphs 185, 186, 187, 188, 189, 190 and 191 of Plaintiffs' Complaint.

## **SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION**

## <u> WRONGFUL DEATH – NEGLIGENCE</u>

# PLAINTIFFS V. DEFENDANT CITY OF LAS VEGAS, DEFENDANT CLARK

- 20. The LVMPD Defendants are without sufficient knowledge to form an opinion as to the truth of Plaintiffs' allegations contained in paragraphs 193 and 200 of the Plaintiffs' Complaint, and therefore deny the remaining allegations.
- 21. The LVMPD Defendants deny the allegations contained in paragraphs 194, 195, 197, 198 and 199 of Plaintiffs' Complaint.
- 22. The LVMPD Defendants admit the allegations contained in paragraph 196 of the Plaintiffs' Complaint.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

#### NEGLIGENCE - SURVIVAL

## PLAINTIFFS V. DEFENDANT CITY OF LAS VEGAS, CLARK COUNTY, LVMPD, AND OFFICERS CAMPBELL, VASQUEZ, GONZALEZ AND ROMAN

- 23. The LVMPD Defendants are without sufficient knowledge to form an opinion as to the truth of Plaintiffs' allegations contained in paragraphs 201 and 207 of the Plaintiffs' Complaint, and therefore deny the remaining allegations.
- 24. The LVMPD Defendants deny the allegations contained in paragraphs 202, 203, 205 and 206 of Plaintiffs' Complaint.
- 25. The LVMPD Defendants admit the allegations contained in paragraph 204 of Plaintiffs' Complaint.

#### **FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

#### **BATTERY – SURVIVAL**

#### PLAINTIFFS V. DEFENDANT OFFICERS CAMPBELL, VASQUEZ AND ROMAN

- 26. The LVMPD Defendants are without sufficient knowledge to form an opinion as to the truth of Plaintiffs' allegations contained in paragraphs 208 and 217 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, and therefore deny the remaining allegations.
- 27. The LVMPD Defendants deny the allegations contained in paragraphs 209, 210, 211, 212, 213, 214, 215 and 216 of Plaintiffs' Complaint.

#### FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

## <u>42 U.S.C. §1983 – EXCESSIVE FORCE IN VIOLATION OF THE FOURTH AMENDMENT</u>

## PLAINTIFFS V. DEFENDANT OFFICERS CAMPBELL, VASQUEZ, AND ROMAN

28. The LVMPD Defendants are without sufficient knowledge to form an opinion as to the truth of Plaintiffs' allegations contained in paragraphs 218 and 240 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, and therefore deny the remaining allegations.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

29. The LVMPD Defendants deny the allegations contained in paragraphs 219, 220, 221, 222, 223, 224, 225, 226, 227, 228, 229, 230, 231, 232, 233, 234, 235, 236, 237, 238 and 239 of Plaintiffs' Complaint.

#### SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

#### 42 U.S.C. §1983 – DISREGARD OF MEDICAL NEEDS IN VIOLATION OF THE FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT

## PLAINTIFFS V. DEFENDANT OFFICERS CAMPBELL, VASQUEZ, GONZALEZ

- 30. The LVMPD Defendants are without sufficient knowledge to form an opinion as to the truth of Plaintiffs' allegations contained in paragraphs 241 and 260 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, and therefore deny the remaining allegations.
- 31. The LVMPD Defendants deny the allegations contained in paragraphs 242, 243, 244, 245, 246, 247, 248, 249, 250, 251, 252, 253, 254, 255, 256, 257, 258 and 259 of Plaintiffs' Complaint.

#### SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

## <u>42 U.S.C. §1983 – MUNICIPAL LIABILITY UNDER MONELL</u>

## PLAINTIFFS V. DEFENDANT CITY OF LAS VEGAS, DEFENDANT CLARK

- 32. The LVMPD Defendants are without sufficient knowledge to form an opinion as to the truth of Plaintiffs' allegations contained in paragraph 261 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, and therefore deny the remaining allegations.
- 33. The LVMPD Defendants deny the allegations contained in paragraphs 262, 263, 264, 265, 266, 267, 268, 269, 270, 271, 272, 273, 274, 275, 276, 277, 278, 279, 280, 281, 282, 283, 284, 285, 286 and 287 of Plaintiffs' Complaint.

///

///

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

FAX: (702) 382-5816

#### **EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

#### **42 U.S.C. §1983 – RATIFICATION**

#### PLAINTIFFS V. DEFENDANT CITY OF LAS VEGAS, DEFENDANT CLARK COUNTY, AND DEFENDANT LVMPD

- 34. The LVMPD Defendants are without sufficient knowledge to form an opinion as to the truth of Plaintiffs' allegations contained in paragraph 288 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, and therefore deny the remaining allegations.
- 35. The LVMPD Defendants deny the allegations contained in paragraphs 289, 290, 292, 294 and 295 of Plaintiffs' Complaint.
- 36. The LVMPD Defendants admit the allegations contained in paragraphs 291 and 293 of Plaintiffs' Complaint.

#### NINTH CAUSE OF ACTION

#### **NEGLIGENT RETENTION**

#### PLAINTIFFS V. DEFENDANT CITY OF LAS VEGAS, DEFENDANT CLARK COUNTY, AND DEFENDANT LVMPD

- 37. The LVMPD Defendants are without sufficient knowledge to form an opinion as to the truth of Plaintiffs' allegations contained in paragraphs 296, 300, 301, 302 and 303 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, and therefore deny the remaining allegations.
- 38. The LVMPD Defendants deny the allegations contained in paragraphs 297, 298, 299, 304, 305 and 306 of Plaintiffs' Complaint.

#### TENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

## **NEGLIGENT TRAINING**

### PLAINTIFFS V. DEFENDANT CITY OF LAS VEGAS, DEFENDANT CLARK COUNTY, AND DEFENDANT LVMPD

39. The LVMPD Defendants are without sufficient knowledge to form an opinion as to the truth of Plaintiffs' allegations contained in paragraph 307 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, and therefore deny the remaining allegations.

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

40. The LVMPD Defendants deny the allegations contained in paragraphs 308, 309, 310, 311, 312, 313, 314 and 315 of Plaintiffs' Complaint.

#### **AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES**

#### **FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

Plaintiffs' suit fails to state a claim for relief as they failed to allege a violation of a right, privilege, or immunity secured by the United States Constitution or by the laws of the United States.

#### **SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

These Answering Defendants did not act deliberately indifferent to Plaintiffs' constitutional rights.

#### THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

The complained of acts of these Answering Defendants were justified and privileged under the circumstances.

#### **FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

At all times mentioned in Plaintiffs' Complaint, these Answering Defendants acted in good faith belief that their actions were legally justifiable.

#### FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

These Answering Defendants did not implement an unconstitutional custom, practice, or policy.

#### SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

The Plaintiffs' claims of constitutional violation are unsupported in both fact and law, as Plaintiffs have not alleged sufficient basis from which a constitutional interest might arise in conjunction with the alleged actions.

#### **SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

To the extent Plaintiffs' causes of actions against these Answering Defendants sound in negligence, no recovery can be predicated upon 42 USCA §1983.

## 4

5 6

## 7 8

9 10

## 11

12 13

# Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 (702) 382-0711 FAX: (702) 382-5816 14 15

16 17

18

### 19

20

21

## 22

23 24

25

26

27

#### EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Any injuries allegedly sustained by Plaintiffs were the result of Decedent Byron Lee Williams' own negligence and/or actions.

#### NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

The Defendant Officers are entitled to qualified immunity.

#### TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiffs cannot recover punitive or exemplary damages against Defendant LVMPD.

#### **ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

The claims and each of them are barred by Plaintiffs' failure to plead those claims with particularity.

#### TWELFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

These Answering Defendants reserve the right to amend these Affirmative Defenses as discovery unfolds and new information is discovered.

#### THIRTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiffs' state law claims are capped at \$100,000 pursuant to NRS 41.025.

### FOURTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiffs' state law claims involve discretionary functions for which these Answering Defendants are immune pursuant to NRS 41.032.

WHEREFORE, the LVMPD Defendants pray for judgment as follows:

- 1. That Plaintiffs take nothing by virtue of their Complaint on file herein and that the same be dismissed with prejudice;
- 2. For an award of reasonable attorney fees and costs of suit incurred in the defense of this action; and

///

///

1	3. For such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and proper in	
2	the premises.	
3	Dated this day of September, 2021.	
4	MARQUIS AURBACH COFFING	
5	By $\sqrt{R}$	
6	C <del>raig</del> R. Anderson, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 6882	
7	10001 Park Run Drive Las Vegas, Nevada 89145	
8	Attorneys for Defendants LVMPD, Campbell, Vasquez, Gonzalez, Roman and	
9	Lombardo	
10	<u>CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE</u>	
11	I hereby certify that I electronically filed the foregoing <b>DEFENDANTS LVMPD</b> ,	
12	CAMPBELL, VASQUEZ, GONZALEZ, ROMAN AND LOMBARDO'S ANSWER	
13	TO PLAINTIFFS' COMPLAINT with the Clerk of the Court for the United States	
14	District Court by using the court's CM/ECF system on the day of September, 2021.	
15	I further certify that all participants in the case are registered CM/ECF users	
16	and that service will be accomplished by the CM/ECF system.	
17	I further certify that some of the participants in the case are not registered	
18	CM/ECF users. I have mailed the foregoing document by First-Class Mail, postage prepaid,	
19	or have dispatched it to a third-party commercial carrier for delivery within 3 calendar days	
20	to the following non-CM/ECF participants: n/a	
21	ShorriMana	
22	an employee of Marquis Aurbach Coffing	
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		